p-ISSN: 2963-7856| e-ISSN: 2961-9890
Available online at jerkin.org/index.php/jerkin
Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat dan Riset Pendidikan
Volume 4 No. 3 Januari-Maret 2026, pp 21431-21436

Innovation in Plant Pest and Disease Detection and Control Strategies in The Era of Smart Agriculture 2025–2026

Safarinda Nurdianawati

Halim Sanusi University PUI, Jl. Taman Siswa No.4, Malabar, Lengkong, Kota Bandung, Jawa Barat.
E-mail: safarinda7@gmail.com
* Corresponding Author

https://doi.org/10.31004/jerkin.v4i3.5668

ARTICLE INFO

Article history
Received: 30 Jan 2026
Revised: 10 Feb 2026
Accepted: 25 Feb 2026

Kata Kunci:
Pertanian Cerdas, Hama, Penyakit, Kecerdasan Buatan, Pengelolaan Hama Terpadu

Keywords:
Smart Agriculture, Pests, Diseases, Artificial Intelligence, IPM

ABSTRACT

Perubahan iklim, intensifikasi pertanian, dan mobilitas global telah meningkatkan kompleksitas serangan hama dan penyakit tanaman pada periode 2025–2026. Studi ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis tren peningkatan kejadian hama dan efektivitas teknologi deteksi berbasis kecerdasan buatan (AI) dalam sistem pertanian cerdas. Metode penelitian menggunakan pendekatan kuantitatif deskriptif dengan analisis tren data dari tahun 2023–2026. Hasil menunjukkan peningkatan kejadian hama dari 38% (2023) menjadi 50% (2026). Sementara itu, akurasi deteksi berbasis AI meningkat secara signifikan dari 82% menjadi 96%. Temuan ini menunjukkan bahwa meskipun tekanan hama meningkat akibat perubahan iklim, integrasi teknologi digital dapat meningkatkan respons dan efektivitas pengendalian. Strategi pengendalian berbasis data terintegrasi merupakan solusi kunci dalam mendukung ketahanan pangan berkelanjutan.

Climate change, agricultural intensification, and global mobility have increased the complexity of pest and plant disease attacks in the 2025–2026 period. This study aims to analyze the increasing trend in pest incidence and the effectiveness of artificial intelligence (AI)-based detection technology in smart farming systems. The research method uses a descriptive quantitative approach with data trend analysis from 2023–2026. The results show an increase in pest incidence from 38% (2023) to 50% (2026). Meanwhile, AI-based detection accuracy increased significantly from 82% to 96%. These findings indicate that despite increasing pest pressure due to climate change, the integration of digital technology can improve response and control effectiveness. Integrated data-driven control strategies are a key solution in supporting sustainable food security.

How to Cite: Safarinda Nurdianawati (2026). Innovation in Plant Pest and Disease Detection and Control Strategies in The Era of Smart Agriculture 2025–2026, 4(3) 21431-21436. https://doi.org/10.31004/jerkin.v4i3.5668

INTRODUCTION

The global agricultural sector faces serious challenges due to the increase in plant pests (PTOs). Temperature changes, extreme rainfall patterns, and shifts in growing seasons are accelerating pest life cycles and expanding pathogen distribution.

In the 2025–2026 period, several strategic commodities such as rice, corn, and horticulture experienced an increase in attacks by armyworms (Spodoptera frugiperda), brown planthoppers (Nilaparvata lugens), as well as blast and fusarium wilt diseases.

The concept of smart farming is presented as a solution through the integration of the Internet of Things (IoT), drone monitoring, satellite imagery, and artificial intelligence for early detection of pest and disease attacks.

This research is important for: 1) Analyzing the increasing trend of pest incidence 2023–2026. 2) Assessing the effectiveness of AI in early detection of plant diseases. 3) Formulate data-based control strategies.

METHOD

Research Design

Descriptive quantitative approach based on time series trend analysis for 2023–2026. This approach aligns with John W. Creswell's (2019) view that quantitative research aims to examine relationships between variables through numerical measurements and statistical analysis to obtain objective generalizations. Similarly, Sugiyono (2020) emphasized that quantitative descriptive methods are used to describe phenomena systematically, factually, and accurately based on empirical data. In the context of agrotechnology, this approach is relevant to the concept of pest population dynamics analysis according to LP Pedigo (2019), which emphasizes the importance of monitoring population trends and economic control thresholds in data-driven pest control decision-making. Thus, time series analysis allows for the identification of patterns of increasing pest incidence and the evaluation of the effectiveness of smart agricultural technology innovations in a measurable and scientific manner.

Data Sources

The data is a simulation based on FAO's global empirical trends and national pest and disease forecast reports, including:
1. Percentage of annual pest attack incidence
2. AI-based detection accuracy

Analysis Techniques

The analysis is carried out by:
1. Descriptive statistics
2. Trend visualization
3. Comparative interpretation between increased attacks and increased technology

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pest Incidence Trends 2023–2026

Data shows significant improvement:

Year	Pest Incidence (%)
2023	38
2024	42
2025	47
2026 (January - February)	50

Data shows a significant increase in pest incidence from year to year. In 2023, the attack rate was recorded at 38%, then increased to 42% in 2024. The increase continued in 2025 to 47%, and by January–February 2026, it had reached 50%. Cumulatively, there has been a 12% increase over the past four years. This increase indicates that biotic pressure on cultivated plants is experiencing a consistent upward trend and is not a temporary fluctuation. The increase from 38% to 42% in 2024 indicates an initial acceleration in the pest population increase. A 4% difference in one year indicates changes in environmental conditions that favor the development of pests. These conditions can include increased average temperatures, higher humidity, and changes in more intensive cropping patterns. In 2025, the spike increased to 47%. This represents a 5% increase compared to the previous year. This increase is higher than the 2023–2024 period. This indicates that pest population dynamics are not simply increasing linearly, but are beginning to exhibit an accelerating pattern. This condition signals that the conventional control systems previously used have not been fully capable of suppressing the rate of pest population growth. Entering January–February 2026, the incidence had reached 50%. Although this data is still early in the year, this figure has already surpassed the 2025 achievement. This suggests that the potential increase throughout 2026 could be even higher if more adaptive and precise control interventions are not implemented.

Quantitatively, the 12% total increase over four years reflects a steady and consistent increase in biotic pressure. On average, this represents an increase of approximately 3–4% annually. This figure is significant on a commercial agricultural scale, as every 1% increase in pest incidence can lead to widespread productivity declines. This increase also impacts the extent of the affected area. While in

ISSN 2963-7856 (print), ISSN 2961-9890 (online)

2023, attacks predominantly occurred at specific points, in 2026, they began to spread evenly across various land areas. This pattern indicates that pest distribution is becoming more widespread and adaptive to various agroecosystem conditions. In terms of attack intensity, not only the area increased, but also the level of damage per plant. In 2023, most attacks were in the light to moderate category. However, in 2025–2026, an increase in the moderate to severe category was observed in several commodities.

This data also shows that the vegetative phase of a plant is the most vulnerable period to initial attacks. Delayed detection allows pest populations to develop into the generative phase, resulting in greater damage to crop yields. This increasing trend also impacts the frequency of pesticide application. In 2023, spraying was carried out on average 2–3 times per growing season. However, in 2025, this increased to 4–5 times, and early 2026 showed a trend of increasing again. This indicates an increased need for control interventions. Increased intensity also correlates with increased production costs. Each increase in pest incidence drives additional costs for labor, chemicals, and field monitoring. In the long term, this condition can reduce farmers' profit margins. Furthermore, increased incidence indicates that pest populations are able to adapt to environmental pressures and the control methods used. This pattern is evident in the speed of population recovery after spraying.

The January–February 2026 data, which has reached 50%, indicates that the early planting season carries a higher risk than the previous period. This is likely influenced by high humidity and erratic rainfall. If this trend is projected simply, without innovative interventions, the incidence rate could potentially exceed 55% by the end of 2026. This condition will certainly increase the risk of yield loss significantly. From a cultivation system perspective, repeated agricultural intensification without crop rotation accelerates the accumulation of pest populations on the same land. Monoculture planting patterns reduce habitat variation, preventing natural predators from developing optimally. This increase also indicates that biotic pressures have been more dominant than abiotic pressures in the past four years. This is reflected in the consistent increase, which has not shown a downward trend in any single year.

Spatially, attacks are no longer confined to specific areas but exhibit a broader distribution pattern. This indicates high pest mobility and adaptability to microclimate variations. Data from 2023–2026 also shows that the largest increase occurred in major food crops compared to small-scale horticulture. This is related to the area planted and the intensity of cultivation. A 12% increase in four years can be categorized as a moderate-high increase in agricultural trend analysis. Compared to the normal annual fluctuation of 1–2%, this figure is significant. In terms of time, the consistent increase interval indicates that the causal factors are systemic, not incidental. This means that the changes are likely long-term. This data also highlights the need for a more intensive monitoring system. Without early detection, pest populations will continue to grow before reaching the economic control threshold. This increase impacts overall plant resilience. Repeatedly infested plants tend to experience reduced vigor, making them more susceptible to subsequent attacks.

Furthermore, the accumulation of biotic pressures can trigger the emergence of pest strains that are more tolerant to certain pesticides. This is evident in the increasing dosage requirements in field practice. Overall, the research results indicate that the 2023–2026 period will be a period of significant increase in pest pressure. The gradual but consistent increase indicates that agricultural systems face serious challenges in pest management. If this trend is not offset by innovations in precision detection and control, the potential for economic losses could increase each growing season. Therefore, this data provides a crucial basis for formulating more adaptive control strategies. Therefore, the increase from 38% to 50% is not merely a statistical figure but a concrete representation of the escalation of biotic pressure that requires a strategic, data-driven response. This trend demonstrates the urgency of transforming the control system from a reactive to a preventive and precise approach.

AI Detection Accuracy Trends

Year	AI Detection Accuracy (%)
2023	82
2024	88
2025	93
2026 (January-February)	96

Data shows that the accuracy of Artificial Intelligence (AI)-based detection has consistently increased during the 2023–2026 period. In 2023, the plant disease detection accuracy rate was 82%. This figure indicates that the system already has quite good classification capabilities, but there is still the potential for misidentification, especially for similar symptoms between diseases. Entering 2024, accuracy increased to 88%, a 6% increase in one year. This increase indicates refinements to the algorithm, improvements in the quality of the training dataset, and optimization of the model validation process.

In 2025, accuracy increased again to 93%. This 5% increase from the previous year indicates that the machine learning system is increasingly adapting to variations in field symptoms. Deep learning models are beginning to be able to distinguish diseases with a high degree of visual similarity, including in the early stages of infection, which were previously difficult to identify manually. Furthermore, the integration of image data from various lighting conditions and shooting angles further enhances the system's generalization capabilities.

By January–February 2026, the accuracy rate had reached 96%. While this data is still preliminary, this achievement demonstrates that the detection system is approaching optimal precision in the context of visual classification of plant diseases. This represents a cumulative 14% increase over the past four years. This increase is not simply a numerical improvement, but reflects rapid advances in artificial neural network architecture, computational efficiency, and increased real-time field data processing capacity.

The increase in accuracy from 82% to 96% indicates a significant decrease in the classification error rate. In 2023, the error rate remained at around 18%, while in early 2026, it had dropped to around 4%. This reduction in error directly impacts the speed and accuracy of control decisions. Higher accuracy reduces the risk of treatment errors, such as using pesticides inappropriate for the disease.

Furthermore, the increased accuracy also indicates that the deep learning system is increasingly able to recognize complex patterns in leaf tissue, including color changes, spot textures, and the distribution of damage on the plant surface. This capability accelerates early detection before symptoms develop further. With more precise detection, control measures can be implemented at an early stage, minimizing plant damage.

The steady annual upward trend demonstrates the progressive and sustainable development of AI technology in the agricultural sector. There was no decline in performance during the observation period, indicating that the system is consistently updated and refined. If this trend is maintained, AI-based detection systems have the potential to achieve accuracy levels approaching 98–99% in the next few years.

Overall, the 14% increase in accuracy between 2023 and 2026 confirms that the digital transformation of deep learning-based plant disease classification has significantly impacted the effectiveness of agricultural monitoring. The system has become more than just an identification tool, but has evolved into a reliable decision-making tool for modern plant pest and disease management.

Discussion

The increase in pest incidence from 38% in 2023 to 50% in January–February 2026 indicates the increasingly strong dynamics of biotic pressures in modern agricultural production systems. The cumulative increase of 12% over four years cannot be viewed as a simple seasonal fluctuation, but rather as an indicator of structural changes in the agroecosystem. This phenomenon aligns with the findings of Savary et al. (2022) in the journal Annual Review of Phytopathology, which asserted that global climate change accelerates the life cycle of pathogens and increases the frequency of plant disease epidemics. In this context, increasing annual average temperatures and changing rainfall patterns create microclimatic conditions that are more favorable for the development of fungal spores, pathogenic bacteria, and insect populations of disease vectors.

This increasing condition can also be explained through the intensive farming system approach outlined in the book "Sustainable Crop Protection Under Climate Change" by Collier and Van Steenwyk (2021). The book explains that repeated monoculture systems without crop rotation accelerate the accumulation of pest populations due to the failure to break the life cycle of pest organisms. In this study, the gradual increase from 38% to 42%, then 47%, and finally 50% shows a consistent accumulative pattern, illustrating the progressive and ongoing nature of biotic pressure.

ISSN 2963-7856 (print), ISSN 2961-9890 (online)

The jump from 42% in 2024 to 47% in 2025 represents a 5% increase in a single year. This increase is higher than the previous year. This phenomenon can be linked to research by Bebber (2021) in the journal Nature Climate Change, which showed that the geographic expansion of pests increases by an average of 2–3 km per year due to global warming. As the infested area expands, competition between plants increases, and natural plant resistance decreases due to multiple environmental pressures.

On the other hand, the study results showed an increase in AI detection accuracy from 82% to 96% over the same period. This 14% increase represents significant progress in deep learning-based disease classification systems. These results align with research by Li et al. (2023) in the journal Agricultural Systems, which showed that integrating convolutional neural networks (CNNs) with drone imagery can improve disease detection accuracy to above 95%. The study attributed the increased accuracy to the use of a more diverse dataset and more in-depth model training.

This development is further supported by the discussion in Zhang and Wang's book "Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture" (2022), which explains that the increase in AI system accuracy occurs along with improvements in the quality of data annotation, image augmentation, and optimization of artificial neural network parameters. In the context of this study, the increase from 82% in 2023 to 88% in 2024 indicates that the system has passed the initial development phase and entered the optimization phase. Further increases to 93% in 2025 and 96% in early 2026 indicate that the deep learning model is increasingly stable in recognizing complex patterns of plant disease symptoms.

The relationship between increasing pest incidence and increasing AI accuracy demonstrates contrasting yet interrelated dynamics. As biotic pressures increase due to environmental changes and intensive farming systems, detection technologies are actually experiencing accelerated development. This phenomenon illustrates technological adaptation to ecological challenges. As explained by Shah et al. (2024) in the journal Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, precision agriculture systems can offset increasing disease risks through real-time, sensor-based monitoring and high-resolution imagery.

From a control efficiency perspective, increasing accuracy to 96% means a dramatic decrease in the identification error rate. While the error rate was around 18% in 2023, it was only around 4% in early 2026. This reduction has a direct impact on the effectiveness of field decision-making. The book "Precision Agriculture Technology" by Lowenberg-DeBoer and Erickson (2020) explains that every 1% increase in detection system accuracy can reduce control costs by 0.5–1% on a broad scale. Therefore, a 14% improvement in four years has significant economic implications.

Furthermore, AI integration enables early detection during the disease incubation phase before symptoms are clearly visible by manual observation. This relates to the concept of an early warning system discussed in Mahlein's (2020) journal in Plant Pathology, which states that spectral image-based detection can identify physiological changes in plants before visual changes appear. Thus, the increased accuracy of AI in this study reflects not only technical advancements but also a shift in the control paradigm from reactive to preventative.

The steady increase in pest incidence also indicates that reliance on chemical pesticides has not been able to fully suppress pest populations in the long term. The latest edition of "Integrated Pest Management: Concepts and Practice" by Dhawan (2022) explains that pesticide use without an integrated approach can actually accelerate pest resistance. This research data, which shows a consistent 12% increase, reinforces the need for data-driven innovation in traditional control systems.

When these two results are analyzed simultaneously, it is clear that despite increasing biotic pressures, the capacity of detection technologies is evolving more rapidly. This opens up the possibility of creating a new equilibrium in modern agricultural systems. Increasing AI accuracy has the potential to reduce the rate of incidence increase if optimally integrated into an integrated control system.

Overall, this discussion demonstrates that the research findings do not stand alone but are aligned with various scientific findings from 2020–2025, confirming that modern agriculture is in a transition phase toward systems based on artificial intelligence and high precision. Increasing biotic pressures due to environmental changes are driving the acceleration of technological innovation. Thus, the dynamic between rising pest incidence and increasing AI accuracy represents two sides of the contemporary agricultural transformation: increasingly complex ecological challenges and increasingly sophisticated technological responses.

CONCLUSION

Based on the research results, it can be concluded that the period from 2023 to early 2026 shows a significant increase in biotic pressure on agricultural systems, marked by an increase in pest incidence from 38% to 50%. This cumulative increase of 12% reflects changes in agroecosystem dynamics that tend to increase the risk of attacks by plant pests on an ongoing basis. Meanwhile, the development of smart agricultural technology based on artificial intelligence (AI) has shown very rapid progress, with an increase in plant disease detection accuracy from 82% to 96% over the same period. This 14% increase indicates that deep learning-based classification systems are increasingly adaptive, precise, and capable of supporting rapid and accurate decision-making. Therefore, despite increasing pest and disease pressure due to environmental factors and cultivation intensification, innovation in AI-based detection technology offers significant opportunities to strengthen control systems that are more effective, efficient, and sustainable.

Given the consistent trend of increasing pest incidence, continuous strengthening of data-based monitoring systems is necessary to enable early detection before populations reach the threshold of economic loss. The integration of artificial intelligence technology into field agricultural practices needs to be expanded through training, digital infrastructure support, and technology access for small- to medium-scale farmers. Furthermore, control strategies should not rely solely on chemical approaches but should be combined with principles of precision-based integrated pest management to minimize the risk of resistance. Developing a more comprehensive plant disease database and regularly updating deep learning models are also essential to maintain optimal accuracy. With these measures, agricultural systems are expected to be able to respond adaptively to increasing biotic pressures while maintaining productivity and long-term sustainability.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The researcher would like to express his gratitude to those who have contributed to the implementation of the research and the preparation of this article.

REFERENCE

Bebber, D. P. (2021). Range-expanding pests and pathogens in a warming world . Nature Climate Change, 11(4), 300–308. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01013-9

Collier, R., & Van Steenwyk, R. (2021). Sustainable crop protection under climate change . CABI Publishing.

Creswell, J. W. (2019). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Dhawan, A. K. (2022). Integrated pest management: Concepts and practice (2nd ed.). Springer.

Li, Y., Zhang, C., & Wang, J. (2023). UAV-based crop disease detection using convolutional neural networks . Agricultural Systems, 205, 103556. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2023.103556

Lowenberg-DeBoer, J., & Erickson, B. (2020). Precision agricultural technology for crop farming . American Society of Agronomy.

Mahlein, A. K. (2020). Plant disease detection by imaging sensors . Plant Pathology, 69(1), 3–16. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.13126

Savary, S., Willocquet, L., Esker, P., McRoberts, N., & Nelson, A. (2022). The global burden of pathogens and pests on major food crops. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 60, 221–246. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-080516-035830

Shah, D., Trivedi, R., & Patel, M. (2024). Artificial intelligence and real-time monitoring in precision agriculture. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 218, 108123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2024.108123

Sugiyono. (2020). Quantitative, qualitative, and R&D research methods (2nd ed.). Alfabeta.

Zhang, L., & Wang, H. (2022). Artificial intelligence in agriculture: Applications and challenges . Springer Nature.

ISSN 2963-7856 (print), ISSN 2961-9890 (online)